arXiv:1412.1200v1 [math.DG] 3 Dec 2014

Surfaces in Euclidean 3-space whose normal bundles are tangentially biharmonic *

Toru Sasahara

Abstract

A submanifold is said to be tangentially biharmonic if the bitension field of the isometric immersion that defines the submanifold has vanishing tangential component. The purpose of this paper is to prove that a surface in Euclidean 3-space has tangentially biharmonic normal bundle if and only if it is either minimal, a part of a round sphere, or a part of a circular cylinder.

1. Introduction

A smooth map between Riemannian manifolds is called a biharmonic map if it is a critical point of the bienergy functional. In [5], Jiang showed that a smooth map is biharmonic if and only if its bitension field vanishes identically. A submanifold is called a biharmonic submanifold if the isometric immersion that defines the submanifold is a biharmonic map. Any minimal submanifold is biharmonic, but the converse is not true in general. In fact, there exist many examples of nonminimal biharmonic submanifolds in spheres (see, [1]). Investigating the properties of biharmonic submanifolds is nowadays becoming a very active field of study.

On the other hand, Lagrangian submanifolds are the most fundamental objects in symplectic geometry. The study of them from the Riemannian geometric point of view was initiated in 1970's. In particular, minimal Lagrangian submanifolds have attracted considerable attention from both the geometric and the physical point of view. Some extensions of such submanifolds from the view point of variational calculus, e.g., Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian submanifolds also have been studied widely.

It is known that the normal bundle of a submanifold in Euclidean n-space $\mathbf{E}^n$ can be immersed as a Lagrangian submanifold in complex Euclidean n-space (see, [4]). Harvey and Lawson [4] showed that a surface in $\mathbf{E}^3$ has minimal normal bundle if and only if it is minimal. Sakaki [6] generalized their result as follows: a surface in $\mathbf{E}^3$ has Hamiltonian stationary normal bundle if and only if it is either minimal, a part of a round sphere, or a cone with vertex angle $\pi/2$.

In this paper, we obtain a new generalization of Harvey and Lawson's result mentioned above. We introduce the notion of tangentially biharmonicity which is weaker than biharmonicity for submanifolds, and prove that a surface in $\mathbf{E}^3$ has tangentially biharmonic normal bundle if and only if it is either minimal, a part of a round sphere, or a circular

*Archiv der Mathematik **99** (2012), 281-287. A minor mistake in the proof of Proposition 4 is corrected.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 53C42; secondary 53B25.
Keywords and phrases: biharmonic submanifolds, tangentially biharmonic submanifolds, B.Y.Chen's conjecture

cylinder. This result also provides a partial answer to B.Y.Chen's conjecture, which states that any biharmonic submanifold in Euclidean space is minimal.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Basic formulas

Let M^m be an m-dimensional submanifold of a Euclidean n-space $\mathbf{E}^n$. We denote by ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections on M^m and $\mathbf{E}^n$, respectively. The formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X,Y), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= -A_\xi X + D_X \xi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for tangent vector fields X, Y and a normal vector field ξ, where h, A and D are the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection. The shape operator and the second fundamental form are related by

$$\langle h(X,Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

The mean curvature vector field H is defined by $H = (1/m)\text{trace } h$. If it vanishes identically, then M^m is called a *minimal submanifold*.

The equation of Codazzi is given by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y,Z) = (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X,Z), \tag{2.3}$$

where X, Y, Z, W are vectors tangent to M^m, and $\bar{\nabla} h$ is defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y,Z) = D_X h(Y,Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

In this paper, we do not use the equations of Gauss and Ricci. Therefore, we omit them.

2.2. Tangentially biharmonic submanifolds

Let $f : (M^m, g) \to N$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds. The *tension field* $\tau(f)$ of f is a section of the induced vector bundle f^*TN defined by

$$\tau(f) := \text{trace}_g(\nabla^f df) \tag{2.4}$$

where ∇^f denotes the induced connection of M. A smooth map f is called a *harmonic map* if $\tau(f)$ vanishes identically. In the case where f is an isometric immersion, we have

$$\tau(f) = mH. \tag{2.5}$$

The bienergy $E_2(f)$ of f over compact domain $\Omega \subset M^m$ is defined by

$$E_2(f) = \int_\Omega |\tau(f)|^2 dv_g,$$

where dv_g is the volume form of M^m (see, [3]). Then E_2 provides a measure for the extent to which f fails to be harmonic. If f is a critical point of E_2 over every compact domain,

then f is called a *biharmonic map* (or 2-*harmonic map*). In [5], Jiang proved that f is biharmonic if and only if its bitension field defined by

$$\tau_2(f) := -\Delta_f \tau(f) + \mathrm{trace}_g R^N(\tau(f), df)df \tag{2.6}$$

vanishes identically, where $\Delta_f = -\mathrm{trace}_g(\nabla^f \nabla^f - \nabla^f_\nabla)$ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N, which is given by

$$R^N(X,Y)Z = [\nabla^N_X, \nabla^N_Y]Z - \nabla^N_{[X,Y]}Z$$

for the Levi-Civita connection ∇^N of N.

If f is an isometric immersion, then M^m or $f(M^m)$ is called a *biharmonic submanifold* in N. It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that any minimal submanifold is biharmonic. However, the converse is not true in general. In fact, there exists many nonminimal biharmonic submanifolds in spheres (see [1]). On the other hand, B.Y.Chen [2] proposed the conjecture: any biharmonic submanifold in Euclidean space is minimal. Several partial answers have been obtained, but the conjecture is still open in general.

We introduce the notion of tangentially biharmonicity which is weaker than biharmonicity for submanifolds as follows:

Definition 1. *A submanifold is said to be tangentially biharmonic if it satisfies*

$$\{\tau_2(f)\}^\top = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\{\cdot\}^\top$ *denotes the tangential part of* $\{\cdot\}$.

2.3. The tension field of normal bundle of surfaces in $\mathbf{E}^3$

Let $x : M^2 \to \mathbf{E}^3$ be an isometric immersion from a Riemannian 2-manifold into Euclidean 3-space. The normal bundle $T^\perp M^2$ of M^2 is naturally immersed in $\mathbf{E}^3 \times \mathbf{E}^3 = \mathbf{E}^6$ by the immersion $f(\xi_x) := (x, \xi_x)$, which is expressed as

$$f(x,t) = (x, tN) \tag{2.8}$$

for the unit normal vector field N along x.

We equip $T^\perp M^2$ with the metric induced by f. If we define the complex structure J on $\mathbf{C}^3 = \mathbf{E}^3 \times \mathbf{E}^3$ by $J(X,Y) := (-Y, X)$, then $T^\perp M^2$ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbf{C}^3$, that is, it satisfies $\langle JX, Y\rangle = 0$ for any two vectors X and Y tangent to $T^\perp M^2$ (see [4, III.3.C]).

In [6], the tension field $\tau(f)$ of f is represented by a local coordinate system such that the coordinate curves are lines of curvature on M^2. In this section, we reexpress $\tau(f)$ by an orthonormal frame of principal directions on M^2 for later use.

Let e_1 and e_2 be principal directions with the corresponding principal curvatures a and b, respectively, that is,

$$A_N e_1 = a e_1, \quad A_N e_2 = b e_2. \tag{2.9}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_1 &= (1 + t^2 a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_1, \\ \tilde{e}_2 &= (1 + t^2 b^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_2, \\ \tilde{e}_3 &= \tfrac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Then, it follows from (2.1), (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(\tilde{e}_1) &= (1 + t^2 a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e_1, -t a e_1), \\ f_*(\tilde{e}_2) &= (1 + t^2 b^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (e_2, -t b e_2), \\ f_*(\tilde{e}_3) &= (0, N). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

We see that $\{\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2, \tilde{e}_3\}$ is an orthonormal frame on $T^\perp M^2$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} e_4 &:= Jf_*(\tilde{e}_1) = (1+t^2a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(tae_1, e_1), \\ e_5 &:= Jf_*(\tilde{e}_2) = (1+t^2b^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(tbe_2, e_2), \\ e_6 &:= Jf_*(\tilde{e}_3) = (-N, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Then $\{e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ is a normal orthonormal frame along f.

Put $\langle \nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_k\rangle = \omega_j^k(e_j)$ for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that $\omega_1^2(e_k) = -\omega_2^1(e_k)$. The equation (2.3) of Codazzi yields

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 b &= (a-b)\omega_1^2(e_2), \\ e_2 a &= (b-a)\omega_2^1(e_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

We put $h_{ij}^\alpha = \langle \tilde{e}_i(f_*(\tilde{e}_j)), e_\alpha\rangle$, $1 \le i, j \le 3$, $4 \le \alpha \le 6$. Then, (2.1) and (2.5) imply that $\tau(f)$ is given by

$$\tau(f) = \sum_{\alpha=4}^{6}\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{ii}^\alpha e_\alpha. \tag{2.14}$$

It follows from (2.10)-(2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11}^4 &= -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e_1 a, \\ h_{22}^4 &= -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t^2b^2)^{-1}(b-a)\omega_2^1(e_2), \\ &= -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+t^2b^2)^{-1} e_1 b, \\ h_{11}^5 &= -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-1}(1+t^2b^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a-b)\omega_1^2(e_1), \\ &= -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-1}(1+t^2b^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_2 a, \\ h_{22}^5 &= -t(1+t^2b^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} e_2 b, \\ h_{11}^6 &= -a(1+t^2a^2)^{-1}, \\ h_{22}^6 &= -b(1+t^2b^2)^{-1}, \\ h_{33}^4 &= h_{33}^5 = h_{33}^6 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (1+t^2a^2)^{-2} e_1 a + (1+t^2a^2)^{-1}(1+t^2b^2)^{-1} e_1 b, \\ Q &= (1+t^2a^2)^{-1}(1+t^2b^2)^{-1} e_2 a + (1+t^2b^2)^{-2} e_2 b, \\ R &= a(1+t^2a^2)^{-1} + b(1+t^2b^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Then by (2.12), (2.14) and (2.15) we find (cf. [6])

$$\tau(f) = -(Pt^2 a e_1 + Qt^2 b e_2 - RN, Pt e_1 + Qt e_2). \tag{2.17}$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) we obtain the following (cf. [4, III. Th.3.11, Pop.2.17]):

Proposition 2. *A surface M^2 in $\mathbf{E}^3$ is minimal if and only if $T^\perp M^2$ is a minimal submanifold of $\mathbf{E}^6$.*

3. Main results

In view of Proposition 2, it is natural to investigate the biharmonicity of $T^\perp M^2$ in $\mathbf{E}^6$. The main theorem of this paper is the following:

Theorem 3. *A surface in Euclidean 3-space has tangentially biharmonic normal bundle if and only if it is either minimal, a part of a round sphere, or a part of a circular cylinder.*

Proof. If M^2 is minimal, then it follows from Proposition 2 that $T^\perp M^2$ is tangentially biharmonic. Assume that the mean curvature vector field of M^2 vanishes nowhere and $T^\perp M^2$ is tangentially biharmonic.

Let (X, Y) be a section of $f^*T(\mathbf{E}^3 \times \mathbf{E}^3)$ and let ∇ be a differential operator acting on X and Y which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -(1+t^2a^2)^{-1}(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_1}e_1}) \\ &\quad -(1+t^2b^2)^{-1}(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_2}e_2}) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $\mathbf{E}^3$. Then, we have

$$\Delta_f(X, Y) = (\Delta X, \Delta Y). \tag{3.2}$$

First, using (2.6), (2.17) and (3.2), we calculate $\tau_2(f)|_{t=0}$. By (2.16) and (3.1) we get

$$\Delta(Pt^2ae_1 + Qt^2be_2)|_{t=0} = -2ae_1(a+b)e_1 - 2be_2(a+b)e_2, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Delta(Pte_1 + Qte_2)|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.9), (2.13) and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta N|_{t=0} &= -\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}N + \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_1}e_1}N - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}N + \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_2}e_2}N \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}(ae_1) - \omega_1^2(e_1)be_2 + \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}(be_2) - \omega_2^1(e_2)ae_1 \\ &= \{e_1a + (b-a)\omega_2^1(e_2)\}e_1 + \{e_2b + (a-b)\omega_1^2(e_1)\}e_2 \\ &\quad +ah(e_1,e_1) + bh(e_2,e_2) \\ &= e_1(a+b)e_1 + e_2(a+b)e_2 + (a^2+b^2)N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By (2.16), (3.1) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(RN)|_{t=0} &= \Delta_M(a+b)N - 2e_1(a+b)\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}N - 2e_2(a+b)\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}N + (a+b)\Delta N \\ &\quad -R_{tt}|_{t=0}N \\ &= \Delta_M(a+b)N + (3a+b)e_1(a+b)e_1 + (a+3b)e_2(a+b)e_2 \\ &\quad +(a+b)(3a^2-2ab+3b^2)N, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Delta_M\phi = -\sum_{i=1}^2\{e_i(e_i\phi) - (\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\phi\}$ for a smooth function ϕ of M^2. Consequently, it follows from (2.6), (2.17), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_2(f)|_{t=0} &= -(\Delta_M(a+b)N + (5a+b)e_1(a+b)e_1 + (a+5b)e_2(a+b)e_2 \\ &\quad +(a+b)(3a^2-2ab+3b^2)N, 0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By the assumption, we have

$$\langle \tau_2(f), f_*(e_1)\rangle|_{t=0} = \langle \tau_2(f), f_*(e_2)\rangle|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Therefore, we derive from (2.11) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}&(5a+b)e_1(a+b) = 0, \\ &(a+5b)e_2(a+b) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Next, we compute $\langle \tau_2(f), \tilde{e}_3 \rangle$ at each point on $T^\perp M^2$. By (2.11) and (2.17) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \tau_2(f), \tilde{e}_3 \rangle = \langle \Delta(Pte_1 + Qte_2), N \rangle \\ = \; & -t(1+t^2a^2)^{-1}\{2(e_1P)a - \omega_1^2(e_1)Qb + P\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1, N\rangle + Q\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2, N\rangle\} \\ & -t(1+t^2b^2)^{-1}\{2(e_2Q)b - \omega_2^1(e_2)Pa + P\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1, N\rangle + Q\langle \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2, N\rangle\}. \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.16) into (3.9), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+t^2a^2)^4(1+t^2b^2)^4 \langle \tau_2(f), \tilde{e}_3 \rangle \\ = \; & 8(1+t^2b^2)^4t^3a^2(e_1a)^2 + 8(1+t^2a^2)^4t^3b^2(e_2b)^2 + (1+t^2a^2)(1+t^2b^2)B \quad (3.10) \end{aligned}$$

for some function B on $T^\perp M^2$, which is polynomial with respect to t.

The assumption yields that (3.10) is identically zero, also as polynomial for $t \in \mathbf{C}$. If $ab \neq 0$, then substituting $t = \sqrt{-1}/a$ and $t = \sqrt{-1}/b$ into (3.10) shows

$$(a-b)^2 e_1 a = (a-b)^2 e_2 b = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.8) with (3.11), we state that a and b are nonzero constant and hence M^2 is a part of a round sphere. If $ab = 0$, then we may assume that $a \neq 0$ and $b = 0$. By (3.8), we conclude that a is constant and hence M^2 is a part of a circular cylinder.

Conversely, if M^2 is either a part of a round sphere or a part of a circular cylinder, then a and b are constant, hence $P = Q = 0$ from (2.16). By using (2.17), (3.1) and (3.2) we can see that $T^\perp M^2$ is nonminimal and tangentially biharmonic. ∎

It follows from (3.7) that the normal bundles of a round sphere and a circular cylinder cannot be biharmonic. Therefore, by Proposotion 2 and Theorem 3 we obtain a partial answer to B. Y. Chen's conjecture as follows:

Proposition 4. *The normal bundle of a surface in* $\mathbf{E}^3$ *is a biharmonic submanifold in* $\mathbf{E}^6$ *if and only if it is minimal.*

References

[1] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres,* Israel J. Math. **168** (2008), 201-220.

[2] B. Y. Chen, *Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type,* Soochow J. Math. **17** (1991), 169-188.

[3] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds,* Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109-160.

[4] R. Harvey, H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries,* Acta Math. **148** (1982), 47-157.

[5] G. Y. Jiang, 2*-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas* (Chinese), Chinese Ann. Math. A **7** (1986), 389–402.

[6] M. Sakaki, *Hamiltonian stationary normal bundles of surfaces in* $\mathbf{R}^3$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(1999), 1509-1515.

General Education and Research Center
Hachinohe Institute of Technology
Hachinohe 031-8501, JAPAN
E-mail address: sasahara@hi-tech.ac.jp